ALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT
30 DAY SWALDATION REPORT OF

Form No. CPS-PP-416

	LPPRENTUE AND PROBETIONARY POLIC	E OFFICERS	
na Diport		Batrance Date July	28.1950
(1642) (16			
Police School Mating	Date this report prepared	Max 193	-3
Plateon assignment during th	de period Bays Sick	O Devre Worked	22

Note that numerical ratings are satigment to the various categories in order to care clearly define spinion. Seed corefully the adjustedtm ratings and their numerical values before making any entries on this form. Analyse correlably the ranks performance. Gall to mind instances that are typical of his work and demonsor. Gaussiance that are typical of the various definions of continuous schools are not typical states of the continuous schools are not typical typical schools are continuous schools are

7.	O Cheatisisctory	vithout he	ated argument o	force?	of arresting persons	Numerical Value
+	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Sood	Superior of arresting persons	8
6.	0 without o	1. 2.	3. 5.	ficiently accom	plish his purpose	Numerical value
5.	Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	Superior	9
	DEPENDABILITY -	This factor app	raises your con instructions o	fidence in the	employee to carry out	Eumerical value
H	Onsatisfactory	Questionable	J. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. C. S. Very Good	9. 10. Superior	7
4.	PERSONALITY - Co	meider his inte hers.	grity, self con	fidence, and in	pression he makes on	Numerical Value
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	10
3.	00-OPERATION - 0	omeider his abi	lity to work of	fectively with	co-workers, superviso	re Numerical value
	Unantiefactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	9
2.	LOYALITY - Thi	e factor apprair and the departs	ses the opinion out. Does he b	e expressed, iz coet or knock t	~~~	Funerical Value
4	Unentiefactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Yery Good	Superior	7
		1. 2.	he a self star	6.72 8.	9. 10.	vejra.

	CAPACITY - Now quickly quote he learn and retain what he learned Is he additioned							
١٠٠-	0 Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 57 8. Very Good	9. 10. Superior	1		
1	RESOURCEVILLESS - Consider his shillity to think creatively and handle his job in spite of adversity.							
1.	O Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8) Very Good	9. 10. Superior	8		
2.	INTEREST - Does a con	he reasonably suplete, smart an	shordinate own	ordinate own convenience, confort and desires faithful performance of duty?				
2.	Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	(9.) 10. Superior	9		
	HEALTH - Is he energetic? Has he marked endurance? Does he frequently report sick? Has he unusual standar?							
13.	Unantiefactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good	9. 10. Superior	10		
	SAFETY - Does he Is he s resource	femore trebicos	fety rules with loss he execut	firearns and proper care	webicular squipment? in the use of department	Numerical value		
14.		1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9. (10)	-		
t	Unentiefactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	10		
15.	APPRABANE - Does he appear clean and nost in uniform? Does he carry himself well? Does he dress in keeping with his responsibility as an Officer when off duty?							
7.	Unantisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	J. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Tery Good	G) 10. Superior	9		
T								
	ADAPTABILITY - 0	Consider his abi	lity to meet me reatile?	w comditions	and execute new assign-	Eumerical value		
16.	0	l. 2.	7. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9.) 10.	Eumerical value		
16.		ments. Is he we	lity to meet me reatile? 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good		Funerical value		
-	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does	l. 2. Questionable	2. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Tery Good	9. 10. Superior	Emerical value		
17.	0 Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does be :	1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do	3. b. 5. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the	6, 7, 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper souse	9.) 10. Superior  mer than hie own? Is of proportion?	9 Smerical value		
-	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does	1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do	yatile?  3. 4. 5. Satisfactory  proper value to es he have the	6, 7, 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper souse	Superior  ser than hie own? Is of proportion?	9		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Dome he : O Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable	2. 4. 5. Satisfactory proper value to as he have the 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good opinione oth proper sense 6.7.8. Yery Good	9.) 10. Superior  mer than hie own? Is of proportion?	9 Smerical value		
-	Unsatisfactory  TOLERANCE - Doe  O  Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable s he a good tear orkers?  1. 2.	yeatile?  3. h. S. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the  3. h. S. Satisfactory worker? Does  3. h. S.	6.7.8. Tery Good opinions other proper sense 6.7.8. Very Good he get along	(9.) 10. Superior  ser than hic over I is of proportion  10. Superior  well with fellow	9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Dome he : O Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable s he a good team	Teatile?  2. h. s. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the  2. h. s. Satisfactory worker? Does	6. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper seese 6. 7. 8. Yeary Good he got along	9. 10. Superior  ser than hie own Is of proportion?  5. 10. Superior  well with fellow	Numerical		
17.	O Unsatisfactory  COLERANCE - Dominion to the to th	anats. Is he vo  1. 2. Questionable a he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable a he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor :	Teatile?  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory proper value to e he have the  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory	S. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions of broper sense 6. 7.8. Yeary Good he get along 1.7.8. Tery Good	(9.) 10. Superior  ser than hic over I is of proportion  10. Superior  well with fellow	9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Bose he	a he accord the overbearing! Do  1. 2. Questionshie  he accord the overbearing! Do  1. 2. Questionshie  1. 2. Questionshie  - This factor a persistency a  1. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 3. 2.  3. 2.  4. 2.  4. 2.  4. 2.  5. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  8. 2.  9. 2.  1. 2.	pratition  2. h. S. Satisfactory proper value to se he have the  3. h. S. Satisfactory worker? Does  3. h. S. Satisfactory projess the sa of meatage of  3. h. S.	5.7.8. Tery Good opinions of proper seese 6.7.8. Very Good he get along fery Good rery Good continued a period of the period of	(9, 10. Superior  For than his own! Is of proportion in the properties in the properties well with fellow well with fellow for the properties in accuracy,  9, 10.	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does he he Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I Unsatisfactory QUALITY OF WORK	anote. Is he vo  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable a he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable - This factor operaistency of	pastisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  proper value to  se have the  3. h. 5.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  J. h. S.  Satisfactory  popules the end meatness of	S. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions of broper sense 6. 7.8. Yery Good he get along 6. 7. 8. Tery Good minyee's period	(b) 10. Superior  Let than hit ovel Is of preperior  Superior  Lot 10. Superior	Numerical value  9  Numerical value  9  Numerical value		
17.	Constisfactory COLERANCE - Doe- Do - Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe-	and to the volume to the control of	reatile?  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  proper value to se be have the  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  story  s	6.7.8. Tery book opinions other proper sense 6.7.8. Tery book has get along the first period of tery book opinions opinions of tery book opinions opi	(9, 10. Superior  For than his own! Is of proportion in the properties in the properties well with fellow well with fellow for the properties in accuracy,  9, 10.	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		
18.	Constisfactory COLERANCE - Doe- Do - Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe-	and to the volume to the control of	reatile?  2. a. 5. Satisfactory  proper value to es he have the  2. a. 5. Satisfactory  worker? Does  worker? Does  satisfactory  popraises the each meatures of  3. a. 5. Satisfactory  volume of workers	S. 7.8. "ery Bood opinions oth proper sense 6.7.8. Very Good he get along 6.7.8. "eary Bood he get along confidence of the proper sense S. 7.6. Tery Good Tery Good	Superior  are than hie out! Is of proportion?  Superior  well with fellow  Is Superior  ormance is accuracy,  Superior	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		

Sot. R.S. Pince

DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TEAINING SCHOOL
IN SERVICE TRAFFIC TRAINING SCHOOL
NOVEMBER 16 THRU DECEMBER 10, 1953

Attended a 16 hour In Service

School on subjects shown below:

Civilian Defense Org.
Relation to Traffic Control 2 hours
Ratio of Alcohol Level to Behavior 2 hours
Point Control Techniques 2 hours

City of Dallas Fleet Safety Program 1 hour - Accident Investigation Report Forms 1 hour

Public Relations and Traffic Enforcement 2 hours Policies

New Traffic Laws Passed by 53rd, Texas 2 hours Legislature

> Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor

# DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TEAINING SCHOOL IN SERVICE TRAINING SCHOOL FOR TRAFFIC & CIVIL DEFENSE

#### MAY 3 THRU 29, 1954

School on subjects shown below:

Civil Defense organization 2 hours

Police Org. 6 Proc. in C.D. 2 hours

Disaster area Control 2 hours

Disaster Control Traffic Pettern 2 hours

Traffic Enforcement Policies 2 hours

Testifying in Corp. Court 1 hour
Waking Cases in County Court 1 hour

Evaluating Acc. Causes by ACC. 2 hours Investigation

Inv. of DWI Cases 2 hours

Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police

Attended a 16 hour In Service

Personnel File

## DAZLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT RANGE FIRING RECORD

### QUARTERLY FIRING REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDING September, 1954

NAME_TIPFI	T. J.D.		
STYLE		EANGE	AVERAGE SCORE
HIP		7 YARDS	40
POINT		15 YARDS	25
POINT KNEELI	NG	25 YARDS	16 2 mos.

THE FIRING WAS IN 10 SHOT SERIES; PERFECT SCORE 50. THE OFFICER FIRED THE COURSE ONCE EACH MOWTH DURING THE PERIOD. THE SCORE REPORTED IS AN AVERAGE FOR THE THREE MONTHS.

SGT. F. R. WATSON RANGE MASTER

Personnel File

DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAINING SCHOOL

IN SERVICE TRAINING SCHOOL ON COLLECTION AND PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

SEPTEMBER 7 THRU 24, 1954

School on subjects shown below:

Attended an 8 hour In Service

Crime Laboratory Technique (Film)

Collection and Preservation of Evidence (Class room)

2 hours 2 hours

Collection and Preservation of Evidence Actual problem (Film)

Panel Discussion Crime Laboratory Procedure

Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor

Personnel File

# DALLAS POLICE TRAINING SCHOOL

#### IN-SERVICE TRAFFIC SCHOOL

May 2nd thru May 20th 1955

attended 8-hour In-Service Traffic

School on the following subjects:

Traffic Enforcement Policy 1 hr.

Traffic Homicide Investigations 1 hr.

Accident Investigations 2 hrs.

D.W.I. Tests and Reports 2 hrs.

Point Control; Hand Signals 2 hrs.

Paul H. Johnhust

Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT 30 DAY EVALUATION REPORT OF APPRENTUE AND PROBATIONARY POLICE OFFICERS

Form No. CPS-PP-686

Save School States Stat

Note that materical mating are sentent to the serious colouries in order to more clearly define spillam. Note countilly the adjection relation and the sentent matter and the sentent material sentent material sentent material sentent materials and the sentent materials of the sentent materials and the sentent materials. The sentent materials are sentent materials and the sentent materials and the sentent materials are sentent materials. The sentent materials are sentent materials and the sentent materials are sentent materials and beginned under its preparation that mating and the sentent materials are sentent materials.

1.						
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.72 8.	9. 10.	-
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Yezy Good	Superior	1 2
	LOYALITI - This factor appraises the opinions expressed, implied or displayed toward the department. Does be boost or knock the Department					
ŀ	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6-2-8	/9 10.	-
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	9
		n co-workers, sunervisor	* Numerical value			
ŀ	Oneatiefactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 2. 8.	0 00	1
4	Casacianactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	10
1		meider his inte here.	grity, self con	ifidence, and i	apression he makes on	Numerical value
ŀ	Onestisfactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.72 8.	9. 10.	1
1	Onestisiactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	7
t	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	employee to carry out	Funerical Value
		l. 2. Questionable	THE COME STORE S	oneclentiously		
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	② 10.	value
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his feedding or cres	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	Superior	Funerical
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his fending or crea	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory sability to so ating antagonise	6.7.8. Very Good	O 10. Superior	Funerical value
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or oree 1. 2. Questionable % - These he dis without has	3. h. 5. Satisfactory  sability to etating antagonis  3. h. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently acco	Superior  suplies his purpose	Funerical
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory  EARCHING PRISONER	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or cres 1. 2. Questionable 35 - These he dis without hes	3. h. 5. Satisfactory s ability to efficient antagonise 3. h. 5. Satisfactory splay and practitated argument or	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently acco	Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  of arresting persons	Functical value
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or oree 1. 2. Questionable % - These he dis without has	3. h. 5. Satisfactory ability to etating antagoniss 3. h. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good ficiently accom- 6.7.6  very Good ice the ability forces	Superior  splich his purpose  9. 10. Superior	Functical value
	O Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory  EARCLING PRISONS  O Unsatisfactory  JUDGEST - Constit	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree  1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis estimate has 1. 2. Open intelligent in the line intelligent in the line intelligent in the line intelligent in the line intelligent	2, a, s. Satisfactory sobility to entiting antagents 3, a, s. Satisfactory uplay and practited argument or	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently accommendation of the ability forms accommendation the ability forms accommendation of the ability forms accom	D 10. Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  7 of arresting persons  2 10. Sweeter	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value
	O Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory  BATELING PRISONS  JUDGEST - Consider  O	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frontians or cres 1. 2. Questionable 25 - Rams he dis without has 1. 2. Objectionable cer the intellige have the abil	2, b, s. Batterfactory  a ability to ectating antagender  3, b, s. Satterfactory  play and practited argument or  solid argument or  solid accounts.	6, 7, 8, Very Good  ficiently acco.  6, 7, 8, Very Good  to the ability forces  6, 7, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Depertor  Depertor  10.  Depertor  1	Functical value  Superical value
	O Unnettednetory  TMOT - This fact without o  O Unnettednetory  EANUALING PRISONS:  O Unnettednetory  JUDGESST - Commission - Done is	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cres 1. 2. Questionable S- Tames he dis withbout hea 1. 2. Orestionable or the intellige s have the abil	2, a, s. Satisfactory e ability to ectaing antagenism 3, a, s. Satisfactory splay and practited argument or a splay. Satisfactory more and thoughting to think me	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently accommendation of the ability forms accommendation the ability forms accommendation of the ability forms accom	D 10. Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  7 of arresting persons  2 10. Sweeter	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value
	Dissiletory  TACT - This fact without o  Unsails factory  RANGIND PRISONS  D.  Unsails factory  Unsails factory  Unsails factory  ATTITUME - This c  month	l. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree 1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis officianable cer the intellige of have the abil 1. 2. Questionable	3. a. s. Satisfactory sublity to efficiency play and practited argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory thed argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory muce and thought ity to think in 7. a. s. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good  ficiently accome. 6.7.80  Tery Good  toe the ability forces 6.7.3.  comp Sacd  t be uses in a public accomp Sacd  t be good Tery Good  Tery Good  Tery Good	Departor  10.  Superfor  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value
	O Unsatisfactory TACT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory EANCLING PRISONS Dussisfactory JUDGEST - Consider	l. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree 1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis officianable cer the intellige of have the abil 1. 2. Questionable	3. a. s. Satisfactory sublity to efficiency play and practited argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory thed argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory muce and thought ity to think in 7. a. s. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good  ficiently accome. 6.7.80  Tery Good  toe the ability forces 6.7.3.  comp Sacd  t be uses in a public accomp Sacd  t be good Tery Good  Tery Good  Tery Good	Departor  10.  Superfor  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10	Paperical value  Paperical value  Buserical value  Buserical value  Buserical value

10.	CAPACITY - How q	nicklys he l	earn and retain	s what he less	me? Is he	_itious?	Sumerical value	
	O Unsatisfactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 0 8. Very Good	9.	10.		
	Uneatisinctory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	7	
1.	RESOURCEFULIRES	- Coneider his s in spite of ad	bility to think	k creatively a	and handle h	is job	Numerical value	
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8	9.	10.		
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	8	
12.	1975REST - Does he reasonably subordinate own convenience, comfort and desires to a complete, exact and faithful performance of duty?							
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	62	10.		
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	9	
13.	MEALTH - Is be energetic? Has be marked endurance? Done he frequently report sick? Has be unusual stantant?							
-	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9.	692		
	Unantisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	10	
11.	SAFETY - Does he Is he a resource	observe all sat scrident prome? ces?	ety rules with Does he execute	firearme and e propez care	vehicular e in the use	quiyment? of department	Numerical value	
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	0.	60)		
	Uneatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Tery Good	Supe	rior	10	
15.	Doe of t	es he appear cler es he dress in ke f duty!	n and neat in seping with his	uniform? Bos respons?bili	s he carry h ty se an Off	inself well? loer when	Numerical value	
	Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable	3, b. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	O) Supe	10. rior	9	
16.	ADAPTABILITY - C	Consider his abil	ity to meet nor	w conditions	and emecute :	new assign-	Numerical value	
10.	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	(9.)	10.		
			Satisfactory	"ery Good		rior		
_	Unentisfactory	Questionable					9	
17.	TOLERANCE - Docar	s he accord the poverbearing? Doe	roper value to	proper sense o	er than bis	own? Is	Sumerical value	
17.	TOLERANCE - Door	be accord the	roner value to	6.7.8.	er them his of proportio	own? Is n? 10. erior	Pumerical	
17.	TOLERANDE - Does he d  O Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - 1:	the accord the poverbearing? Doe	sroper value to so he have the ; 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory worker? Does ;	6, 7. 8. Very Good	er them his of proportion Sup	n? 10. erior	Pumerical	
_	TOLERANDE - Does he d  O Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - 1:	s he accord the governmenting? Doe la conditionable	nroper walue to se he have the p 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6, 7. 8. Very Good	er them his of proportion Sup-	n? 10. erior	Numerical value	
_	TOLERANCE - Does to be a community of the community of th	s he accord the powerbearing? Doe  1. 2. Questionable s he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable - This factor as	reper value to is he have the in a factor of the same	6.7.8. Very Soul he get along v 6.7.8. Tery Good recy Good	er them his of proportion  Sup  well with fe	10. erior 11ow 10. erior	Numerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does no o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	s he accord the powerbearing? Doe  1. 2. Questionable s he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable - This factor as	oroper value to s he have the ;  3. 4. 5. Satisfactory worker? Does :  3. 5. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good he get along v 6.7.8. Tery Good	er them his of proporties of proporties of sup- well with fe	10. erior 11ow 10. erior	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  G  Sumerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does  O the stiffnetory  SOCIABILITY - I:  W  O the stiffnetory  Unestiffnetory  GUALITY OF WORK  O the stiffnetory	be accord the previousling Do  la 2. Questionable  he a good team  ricers?  la 2. Questionable  - This factor appresistency or  la 2. Questionable  L 2. Questionable	report value to so he have the ;  3. 2. 5. 5. Satisfactory  worker? Does !  3. 3. 5. Satisfactory  pordises the ext directory  Satisfactory	for proper sease of the pr	er them his set proporties  Supporting  Su	10. erior  10. erior  10. erior  10. erior  10. erior	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  G  Sumerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does  O the stiffnetory  SOCIABILITY - I:  W  O the stiffnetory  Unestiffnetory  GUALITY OF WORK  O the stiffnetory	be accord the previousling Do  la 2. Questionable  he a good team  ricers?  la 2. Questionable  - This factor appresistency or  la 2. Questionable  L 2. Questionable	roper value to so he have the;  2. 4. 5. Satisfactory varker? Does;  3. 5. 5. Satisfactory oppraises the ext description of value of vory value of vory value of vory	for proper sease of the pr	er them his of proportion of proportion of proportion of proportion of page 1 of page 2 of page	10. erior  10. erior  10. erior  10. erior  10. erior	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  Sumerical value  Sumerical value	

Sgt. R.S. Prince